

APPEAL NO. 041018  
FILED JUNE 7, 2004

This appeal arises pursuant to the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, TEX. LAB. CODE ANN. § 401.001 *et seq.* (1989 Act). A contested case hearing was held on April 5, 2004. The hearing officer resolved the disputed issues by determining that the appellant (claimant herein) is not entitled to supplemental income benefits (SIBs) for the third quarter. The claimant appealed on sufficiency of the evidence grounds. The respondent (carrier herein) responded, urging affirmance.

DECISION

Finding sufficient evidence to support the decision of the hearing officer and no reversible error in the record, we affirm the decision and order of the hearing officer.

Eligibility criteria for SIBs entitlement are set forth in Section 408.142(a) and Tex. W.C. Comm'n, 28 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 130.102 (Rule 130.102). The SIBs criterion in issue is whether the claimant made a good faith effort to obtain employment commensurate with his ability to work during the qualifying periods for the third quarter. The claimant asserted that he had no ability to work due to his compensable injury. The hearing officer found that, although the claimant's unemployment was a direct result of his impairment, the claimant did not meet the requirements of Rule 130.102(d)(4), because the claimant failed to submit a medical narrative showing how his compensable injury caused an inability to work during the relevant qualifying period, and because there is credible medical evidence that the claimant has an ability to work with restrictions during the relevant qualifying period. Whether a claimant satisfied the good faith requirement for SIBs entitlement is a factual question for the hearing officer to resolve. Texas Workers' Compensation Commission Appeal No. 94150, decided March 22, 1994. The hearing officer is the sole judge of the relevance, materiality, weight, and credibility of the evidence presented at the hearing (Section 410.165(a)) and, as the trier of fact, resolves the conflicts and inconsistencies in the evidence, including the medical evidence. Texas Employers Insurance Association v. Campos, 666 S.W.2d 286 (Tex. App.-Houston [14th Dist.] 1984, no writ). We conclude that the hearing officer's decision is supported by sufficient evidence and that it is not so against the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be clearly wrong and unjust. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175, 176 (Tex. 1986).

The decision and order of the hearing officer are affirmed.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **INSURANCE COMPANY OF THE STATE OF PENNSYLVANIA** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

**CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY  
800 BRAZOS, SUITE 750, COMMODORE 1  
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701.**

---

Gary L. Kilgore  
Appeals Judge

CONCUR:

---

Daniel R. Barry  
Appeals Judge

---

Robert W. Potts  
Appeals Judge